

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/667,893                                    | 09/23/2003  | Thomas E. Jenkins    | 3085.004                | 8199            |
| 7590 04/04/2006                               |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| Matthew A. Pequignot                          |             |                      | BARRY, CHESTER T        |                 |
| Hall, Priddy, Myers & Vande Sande<br>Ste. 200 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 10220 River Road<br>Potomac, MD 20854         |             |                      | 1724                    |                 |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Cu

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/667,893   | JENKINS ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Chester T. Barry   | 1724   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 February 2006</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/05.   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/667,893

Art Unit: 1724

The rejection under Sec. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments and citation to the definition of "continuing" provided in the specification.

Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 103(a) as obvious over the Rindt reference in view of the art cited at the top of page 2 of the last Office action for the reasons given in the previous action.

It is emphasized that it would have been obvious to have used Rindt's calculated OUR parameter to control the bioprocess because each of the secondary references suggest using OUR or a form thereof as the measured parameter in a bioprocess control scheme in which process variables, e.g., air flow rate, return sludge rate, waste sludge rate, etc, are manipulated for the purpose of controlling OUR. It was known to employ PLC controllers to manipulate flow valve actuators for the purpose of controlling these or other manipulated variables.

Applicants' arguments based on nonobviousness were carefully considered, but they were not persuasive of patentability. The examiner concedes that the primary reference does not anticipate the claim. But a prior art teaching's failure to anticipate an applicant's claimed invention does not necessarily render the latter patentable.

Alternative grounds for refusing allowance of the application exist, e.g., Sec. 103(a). The claims are properly rejected under Sec. 103(a) because the art fairly suggests the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,893

Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

combination of teachings necessary to meet the claimed limitations for the reasons

given above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Chester T Barry

Primary Examiner

**GAU 1724** 

571-272-1152